## Application No. Applicant(s) COLE ET AL. 10/663.675 **Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit** MICHAEL OSINSKI 2622 **All Participants:** Status of Application: (3) \_\_\_\_\_\_. (1) MICHAEL OSINSKI. (4) \_\_\_\_\_. (2) Elizabeth Parsons (Reg. No. 52,499). Date of Interview: 24 July 2008 Time: 10:00AM Type of Interview: ▼ Telephonic Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No No If Yes, provide a brief description: Part I. Rejection(s) discussed: Claims discussed: 32 Prior art documents discussed: Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Discussion that claim 32 will not be examined on the merits at this time due to claim 32 being a member of an unelected invention of the pending application which was subject to a restriction. Part III. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. 🛛 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)